SONICATION OF CHERRY JUICE: COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT SONICATION TIMES ON COLOR, ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY, TOTAL PHENOLIC AND ASCORBIC ACID CONTENT
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Abstract—This study was conducted to investigate the effect of different ultrasound (US) times intervals on the physiological quality of cherry juice for a period of 14 days. Cherry fruits purchased from a local market were sonicated at 20 kHz and 100% amplitude for 2, 3, 5, and 10 minutes. Quality attributes such as color, total antioxidants, total phenolics and ascorbic acid contents of the cherry juices were compared. The results showed that the US treatment had significant effects on the physiological quality of the cherry juices. When the sonication treatment time was increased from 2 to 10 min, higher levels of total phenolic content, antioxidant activity, and ascorbic acid were observed. A 10 min treated cherry juices showed higher lightness (L) values compared to the fresh cherry juice during a two-week period. Sonication treatment showed a potential as a method to preserve and improve the overall quality of cherry juice during cold storage.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the processing of fruit and vegetable juices, a thermal pasteurization method, normally a high temperature short time (HTST) treatment is often used in order to secure microbial safety of the food products. Even though HTST effectively inactivates human pathogens in the juice, it generally causes unwanted quality degradation such as nutrient, color, and sensory property changes in the juices (Ragsdale and Sisler, 1994). To overcome or minimize the food safety and quality problem, non-thermal technologies such as ultrasound (US), high pressure homogenization (HPH), and pulsed electric field (PEF) have been proposed as promising alternatives to thermal pasteurization so that the changes of flavor and nutritional value can be minimized during processing (Jiménez-Sánchez et al., 2017). Recently, US treatment has been reported to be an attractive means in food science and technology due to its promising effects in food processing and preservation (Yildiz et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2016; Yildiz et al., 2017; Yildiz and Izli, 2019a). The lethal effect of ultrasound when applied to a liquid medium is attributed to the physical and chemical events in the medium produced by acoustic cavitation. This includes the formation, growth, and violent collapse of small bubbles in liquid as a result of acoustic pressure fluctuation (Knorr et al., 2004). Ultrasound has been tested in order to inactivate human pathogens in juice products, such as apple cider (Lee et al., 2013). Sonication of apple cider at 57°C and 20°C achieved 5 log reduction of E. coli O157:H7 in about 4.5 and 6 min, respectively (D’Amico et al., 2006). Even though several works have been done on the application of ultrasound during food processing and preservation, little information is known about the effect of ultrasound treatment as a factor that affects quality in vegetables and fruit after harvest. Previously, some studies have been conducted on different fruit juices treated with ultrasound, in particular kasturi lime juice (Bhat et al., 2011), orange juice (Tiwari et al., 2008), strawberry juice (Tiwari et al., 2009), watermelon juice (Rawson et al., 2011), grapefruit juice (Aadil et al., 2015), peach juice (Yildiz, 2019), and guava juice in combination with carbonation (Cheng et al., 2007). To the best of our knowledge, the effects of different sonication times on cherry juice quality parameters have not been reported anywhere else. Therefore, the objective of this study was to explore the effects of different sonication times (2, 3, 5, and 10 min) on color, antioxidant activity, total phenolic and ascorbic acid contents of cherry fruit juices during storage at 4°C for 14 days. Even though the main focus was to analyze the effect of the different sonication times on the nutrient quality, preliminary studies for the microbial growth were performed at different sonication time to examine whether this emerging process can be applied as alternative to HTST. It is the study to determine the effect of different sonication treatments to investigate quality parameters and bioactive compounds on the storage stability of cherry juice.

II. METHODS

A. Processing of raw material and US treatment

Fresh cherry fruits (Northwest cherries) were purchased from a local market in Springfield, IL, USA. They were sorted to eliminate damaged or unripe fruit, and selected for uniform size and color. Juices of cherries were freshly pressed with using a juice extractor (Bullet Express Multifunction Food Processor, Model: BE 110, Pacoima, CA, USA) after removing the seeds from the fruit. The juice was filtered by using filter paper (Tri Clover Compatible Filter, CA, U.S.A) to remove the pulp and
foreign materials. US treatment was applied by using a VC-750 US homogenizer (Sonic & Material, Inc., New-
town, CT) with the frequency of 20 kHz at 100% amplit-
tude. A total of 500 mL of freshly squeezed cherry juic-
es were put into a beaker, and the acoustic energy was
transferred to the sample by a probe (12.5 mm diam-
eter). The beaker was put in an ice bath at the time of
sonication to control the temperature of the sample. For
control samples, no treatment was applied.

Below is the explanation of the samples and treat-
ments used in the study:

- Fresh stands for “No ultrasound treatment”
- US2 stands for “Ultrasound treated cherry juices for
  2 min at 100% amplitude”
- US3 stands for “Ultrasound treated cherry juices for
  3 min at 100% amplitude”
- US5 stands for “Ultrasound treated cherry juices for
  5 min at 100% amplitude”
- US10 stands for “Ultrasound treated cherry juices for
  10 min at 100% amplitude”

B. Color measurement

Colors of cherry juices were measured by Hunter color-
imeter (Hunter Associates Laboratories, Reston, VA) de-
depend on the *L*, *a*, and *b* values. Cherry juices
(10mL) were put into to a plastic dish (35 mm, Corning
tissue culture dish, NY, USA). The instrument blank
measurements were made with the cuvette filled with
DW against a reference white pressed plate. For each
cherry juice, three color measurements were taken and the averaged *L*, *a*, and *b* values were calculated.

C. Ascorbic Acid

Ascorbic acid content of cherry juice was determined
using 2,6-dichloro-indophenol titration as outlined by
Jones and Hughes (1983). 10 mL of cherry juices were
added into 10 mL of 3% (v/v) metaphosphoric acid. The
concentrate was completed to a volume of 100 mL and
centrifuged at room temperature (3000 g, 15 min). The
supernatant (10 mL) was titrated against standard 2,6-
dichloro-indophenol, which previously standardized
against standard ascorbic acid and the result was report-
ed as mg 100 mL−1 fresh weight (FW).

D. Antioxidant capacity

1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl hydrazyl radical (DPPH) was uti-
lized to examine the antioxidant capacity of fresh and
US-treated cherry juices (Ebrahimzadeh et al., 2009).
The cherry juice concentrates were made in several con-
centrations with the rates of 0.02 %, 0.04 %, 0.06 %,
0.08 %, and 0.1%. DPPH compound was mixed with
the sample concentrate, and then vortexed. The mixture
was incubated in the dark for an hour. The solution was
stirred and then centrifuged (3000 g, 10 min). Finally,
the absorbance was read of absorbance at 517 nm with a
spectrophotometer (Lambda 1050 UV/VIS/NIR Spec-
trometer, PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, U.S.A), and
µmol of Trolox equivalents per liter (µmol TE/L) of
cherry juice was collected.

E. Total phenolic content (TPC)

TPC of fresh and US-treated cherry juices were deter-
mined using a modified colorimetric method (Igual et
al., 2012). The technique includes the degradation of
Folin-Ciocalteau indicator (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis,
Missouri, U.S.A) by phenolic ingredients, with the for-
formation of a blue compound. In shortly, the juice extract
(0.25 mL) was added into 15 mL of distilled water and
1.25 mL of Folin-Ciocalteau testing agent. Following
the 8 min waiting, 3.75 mL of saturated sodium car-
bonate was put added into the mixture and diluted up to
25 mL with DW. Then, the blend was kept at 25 °C for
an hour and the absorbance was read at 765 nm by a
spectrophotometer (Lambda 1050 UV/VIS/NIR Spec-
trometer, PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Gallic
acid was used as a reference standard, and the results
were described as mg gallic acid equivalents per liter of
juice (mg GAE/L).

F. Shelf life

After US treatments, the juice samples were stored at
4°C for two-weeks, and analyses were conducted at 0, 2,
5, 7, and 14 days of storage.

G. Statistical analysis

Three replications for each treatment were used for all
measurements. Statistical analyses were conducted us-
using the General Linear Models procedure in SAS (ver-
sion 9.3, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina,
USA). Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) test
was conducted to figure out statistical changes among
treatments at alpha=0.05.

III. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

A. Color measurement

Table 1 shows the changes in *L*, *a*, and *b* values for
cherry juices treated with ultrasound during a two-week
period. The *L* (lightness) values of all treated cherry
juices were slightly higher than the fresh processed
samples right after processing. For other storage times,
all US-treated cherry juices no matter which treatment
showed significantly higher *L* values compared to the
fresh cherry juice (Table 1). The increase in *L* values
for sonicated juice samples as compared control was
also observed in the study of sonicated watermelon juice
(Rawson et al., 2011). The *L* values decreased signifi-
cantly with the storage time in cherry juices for all
treatments, but especially in fresh cherry juice. The
highest *L* value was observed for the samples on day 0,
and the lowest *L* value was observed for the last day
(14th days) juice samples. No significant changes were
observed between cherry juices treated with and with-
out ultrasound in their *a* (redness) and *b* (yellowness)
values during 2 weeks of storage (Table 1). In addition,
the sonicated cherry juice samples showed slightly low-
er *a* and *b* values in comparison with fresh juice
which is in agreement with the work of Tiwari et al.,
(2008) for sonicated orange juice. It has been reported
that cavitation phenomena occurred during ultrasound
might cause changes on the color of fruit juices (Cheng
Table 1. Change of color in fresh and sonicated cherry juices over storage at 4°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Storage (days)</th>
<th>$L^*$</th>
<th>$a^*$</th>
<th>$b^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRESH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74.1 ± 0.98&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>71.5 ± 0.86&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.15&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>68.2 ± 0.88&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>62.9 ± 0.65&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.22&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>55.2 ± 0.48&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.34&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74.2 ± 0.43&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.55&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>74.1 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.43&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70.8 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.46&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>68.1 ± 0.22&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.38&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>62.9 ± 0.35&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.33&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74.4 ± 0.73&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.44&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>74.0 ± 0.84&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.48&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71.8 ± 0.95&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.56&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>68.3 ± 0.81&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.61&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>63.8 ± 0.66&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74.6 ± 0.65&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>74.2 ± 0.56&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.43&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70.9 ± 0.76&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>68.4 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>68.1 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74.8 ± 0.76&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.43&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>74.2 ± 0.99&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.48&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71.7 ± 0.65&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.54&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>68.7 ± 0.54&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.55&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>68.7 ± 0.48&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.17&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Treatment means within treatments with the same letter in each sample are not significantly different (p<0.05).

Fig. 1. The appearance of fresh and sonicated cherry juice samples.

et al., 2007; Tiwari et al., 2008). The observed color changes in this study might be from the physical effect of cavitation such as vibration, shock wave, localized high shear (Sala et al., 1995; Lee et al., 2013). The appearance of fresh and US-treated cherry juices is shown in Fig. 1. The color of US10 juice samples showed a closer look with the fresh juice by having a lighter appearance, while the other sonicated juices look darker.

B. Ascorbic Acid Content
Ascorbic acid changes of fresh and sonicated cherry juice samples are shown in Table 2. US5 and US10 juice samples showed significantly higher ascorbic acid content compared to the fresh cherry juice for all storage times. Especially when 10 min ultrasound was applied, the highest ascorbic acid content was achieved (Table 2). While the ascorbic acid content of fresh cherry juices was in the range of 35.35 to 61.73 mg/100 mL, it was 46.60 to 61.97 mg/100 mL for the cherry juice treated with US for 10 minutes. In addition, fresh and US-treated cherry juices showed significant decrease in their ascorbic acid content during 2 weeks of storage. While the highest ascorbic acid content was determined on Day 0, the lowest ascorbic acid was determined on Day 14. There are also several studies shows the increase in ascorbic acid content of sonicated fruit juices such as apple, guava and kasturi lime juices (Abid et al., 2014; Cheng et al., 2007; Bhat et al., 2011). The increase of ascorbic acid of cherry juice might attributed to the cavitation during sonication which is responsible for a removal the dissolved oxygen which is an essential for ascorbic acid degradation. Therefore, US-treated cherry juices might cause the higher ascorbic acid content by removing dissolved oxygen.

C. Antioxidant activity
Antioxidant capacity changes of fresh and US-treated cherry juices are presented in Table 2. The antioxidant capacity values of fresh cherry juices were in the range
of 897 to 1224 µmol TE/L for 2 weeks. The antioxidant values of the US10 juices were in the range of 2027 to 3657 µmol TE/L during 2 weeks. The antioxidant capacity increased significantly with the storage time in cherry juice samples for all methods (Fresh, US2, US3, US5, and US10) (Table 2). The lowest antioxidant value was observed for the samples on day 0, and the highest antioxidant value was observed for the samples on day 14. Among the treatments, it was recorded that US10 juices showed the highest antioxidant capacity during the storage. A significant increase in total antioxidant capacity of sonicated juice samples was also observed in the study of Bhat et al. (2011). In our experiment, the antioxidant capacity increased significantly with the storage time in cherry juice samples for all methods. This increase might be attributed to the increased amount of phenolic compound as a result of cavitation produced during sonication. In several studies it was shown that there is a positive relationship between total phenolic content and antioxidant activity in many plant species such as kumquat and pomelo (Izli et al., 2018; Yildiz and Izli, 2019b) which is in agreement with our findings. We have also observed a linkage between TPC and antioxidant activity. US10 treatment showed the highest TPC and antioxidant activity, while the fresh juices showed lowest antioxidant activity and TPC (Table 2).

### D. Total phenolic content (TPC)

Total phenolic changes of fresh and US-treated cherry juice samples are demonstrated in Table 2. The phenolic content value of fresh cherry juices was changed from 165 to 326 mg GAE/L for 2 weeks. On the other hand, TPC of US10 juice samples were found in the range of 427 to 697 mg GAE/L for 3 weeks. The phenolic content values increased with the storage time in fresh and US-treated cherry juices. The highest phenolic content value was observed for all treatments on day 14, and the lowest phenolic content value was observed right after processing (Day 0). Phenolic compounds are very important and beneficial to human health as they play a significant role in controlling the risk of many physiological and degenerative diseases in the human body. It was found that that there was a significant increase in total phenols in all the sonicated juice samples as compared to control. Previous study conducted on sonicated kasturi lime juice also showed similar trend of increase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Storage (days)</th>
<th>Ascorbic Acid (mg/100 mL)</th>
<th>Antioxidant Activity (µmol TE/L)</th>
<th>Total phenolic content (mg GAE/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRESH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61.73 ± 1.18c</td>
<td>897.14 ± 104c</td>
<td>165 ± 32c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>55.65 ± 2.22b</td>
<td>925.35 ± 199c</td>
<td>248 ± 23e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>44.21 ± 1.34c</td>
<td>998.22 ± 123c</td>
<td>269 ± 18e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40.33 ± 1.89d</td>
<td>1221.10 ± 177b</td>
<td>275 ± 33e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35.35 ± 0.99e</td>
<td>1224.23 ± 189b</td>
<td>326 ± 42d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61.85 ± 1.23a</td>
<td>923.48 ± 114c</td>
<td>234 ± 24e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>55.48 ± 1.45b</td>
<td>1423.13 ± 156c</td>
<td>276 ± 33c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46.58 ± 2.05c</td>
<td>1943.53 ± 122c</td>
<td>327 ± 65d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.44 ± 2.18d</td>
<td>2124.15 ± 175c</td>
<td>332 ± 88d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35.37 ± 2.16e</td>
<td>2125.86 ± 139c</td>
<td>437 ± 73c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61.89 ± 1.22a</td>
<td>1224.35 ± 148c</td>
<td>323 ± 76c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54.21 ± 1.35b</td>
<td>1514.36 ± 198c</td>
<td>325 ± 72d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46.25 ± 1.98c</td>
<td>1525.12 ± 192c</td>
<td>338 ± 65d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40.86 ± 2.09d</td>
<td>2126.16 ± 164c</td>
<td>434 ± 58d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36.20 ± 1.78e</td>
<td>2480.45 ± 166c</td>
<td>435 ± 43c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61.75 ± 1.13c</td>
<td>1456.15 ± 123c</td>
<td>325 ± 44d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>59.43 ± 1.86a</td>
<td>1984.48 ± 145c</td>
<td>328 ± 12d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>59.20 ± 1.23a</td>
<td>2325.55 ± 188c</td>
<td>437 ± 28c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>55.64 ± 1.87b</td>
<td>2475.24 ± 167c</td>
<td>444 ± 41c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46.24 ± 1.65c</td>
<td>2927.19 ± 164c</td>
<td>545 ± 25b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61.97 ± 2.05c</td>
<td>2027.72 ± 173c</td>
<td>427 ± 55c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>59.39 ± 1.76a</td>
<td>2418.65 ± 144c</td>
<td>528 ± 53b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>59.18 ± 1.22a</td>
<td>2913.77 ± 182c</td>
<td>597 ± 89b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>55.42 ± 1.38b</td>
<td>3180.23 ± 131b</td>
<td>646 ± 73a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46.60 ± 1.55c</td>
<td>3657.18 ± 144c</td>
<td>697 ± 77a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Treatment means within treatments with the same letter in each sample are not significantly different (p<0.05).
in total phenolic content (Bhat et al., 2011). This increase might be attributed to the release of bound form of phenolic contents due to breakage of cell wall by the cavitation pressure exerted on it during sonication.

The results demonstrated that the US treatment had significant effects on the physiological quality of the cherry juices. Cheery juice treated with US exhibited to be a promising alternative to HTST treatment as demonstrated by its quality retention during cold storage. When the sonication time was increased from 2 to 10 min higher levels of TPC, antioxidant capacity, and ascorbic acid were observed. A 10 min treated cherry juices showed higher lightness ($L^*$) values compared to the untreated cherry juice during a two-week period. In overall, application of 10 min sonication at 20 kHz maintains overall quality better than other US treatments. Based on the present study, we suggest that 10 min sonication may be implemented on a commercial scale for the production of cherry juice with improved quality and stability during storage to get more benefits.
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